REVIEW ARTICLE

Posture As a Determinant of Health: A Narrative Review of Its Multisystem Effects

[*1]Varsha Huddar
[2]Sanjiv Kumar

ABSTRACT

Background: Posture is a dynamic determinant of systemic health, influencing structural alignment, physiological regulation, biomechanical efficiency, psychological well-being, and social interaction. Despite its pervasive role, posture remains underrepresented in mainstream clinical and public health discourse.

Methods: This narrative review synthesizes evidence across anatomical foundations, physiological mechanisms, biomechanical consequences, pain syndromes, psychosocial correlates, and intervention strategies to highlight posture's multisystem impact. A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, and ScienceDirect databases for studies published between 2010 and 2025. Keywords related to posture and health were applied across disciplines, and thematic synthesis was used to organize findings into interconnected domains.

Results: Results indicate that postural alignment affects musculoskeletal integrity, respiratory and circulatory function, neural signalling, and emotional regulation. Deviations from neutral posture contribute to chronic pain, energy inefficiency, functional limitations, and psychological distress.

Conclusion: Evidence supports the clinical efficacy of interventions such as postural education, therapeutic exercise, ergonomic modifications, and interdisciplinary care. Recognizing posture as a multifactorial indicator of health may enhance prevention, rehabilitation, and overall well-being. Future research should prioritize culturally sensitive, longitudinal, and personalized approaches to postural assessment and intervention.

Keywords: Posture, Biomechanics, Psychosocial factors, Therapeutic interventions, Ergonomics.

Received 11th August 2025, accepted 14th November 2025, published 09th December 2025

www.ijphy.com

10.15621/ijphy/2025/v12i4/1952

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

[*1]Varsha Huddar

Associate Professor, Department of Physiotherapy, KLE's Institute of Physiotherapy Nehru Nagar, Belagavi, Karnataka, India.
Email: varshahuddar@klekipt.edu.in

[2]Professor, Department of Physiotherapy, KLE's Institute of Physiotherapy, Nehru Nagar Belagavi, Karnataka, India.
Email: sanjiv3303@rediffmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Posture—the manner in which the human body is aligned in space—represents a dynamic interplay between structural design, neuromuscular coordination, and environmental adaptation. Far beyond aesthetic appearance or habitual stance, posture serves as a foundational determinant of systemic health, influencing physiological processes, biomechanical integrity, and even psychosocial perception [1, 2].

Historically, postural norms have evolved in response to cultural, occupational, and technological transformations. From the erect gait of early hominins to the prolonged seated postures of the digital age, each shift has introduced novel demands on musculoskeletal stability and functional efficiency [3, 4]. These adaptations are reflected in how load is distributed across joints, how muscles are recruited for balance and mobility, and how organ systems respond to alignment cues [5].

Anatomically, posture governs spinal curvature, muscle tone, and joint congruency—establishing the scaffold upon which movement and organ function are anchored. Physiologically, altered posture may compromise breathing patterns, circulation, digestion, and neurological signalling due to mechanical impingement or autonomic imbalance [2]. Psychologically, posture conveys mood, identity, and social intention, and exerts feedback effects on emotional regulation and cognitive performance [1].

Despite its multifaceted influence, posture remains an underappreciated health determinant within mainstream clinical discourse. This narrative review aims to synthesize evidence across disciplines—anatomy, physiology, biomechanics, pain science, and behavioural studies—to highlight how posture mediates multisystem function and dysfunction. By adopting an integrative lens, this work intends to deepen understanding of posture as a vital interface between form, function, and well-being.

METHODOLOGY

This narrative review synthesized current evidence on the multisystem effects of human posture, drawing on interdisciplinary sources across anatomy, physiology, biomechanics, and psychosocial domains. Unlike systematic reviews, which follow rigid inclusion criteria and meta-analytical frameworks, narrative reviews allow for broader conceptual integration and interpretive depth.

Search Strategy

Relevant literature was identified through electronic database searches of PubMed, Google Scholar, Scopus, and ScienceDirect from 2010 to 2025. Keywords included: *posture*, *biomechanics*, *musculoskeletal pain*, *psychological correlates*, *autonomic function*, *cultural evolution of posture*, and *respiratory effects of posture*. Boolean operators and MeSH terms were applied to refine search specificity.

Selection Criteria

Studies were selected based on:

- Relevance to postural effects on physiological, biomechanical, and psychosocial systems
- Peer-reviewed journal articles, narrative reviews, meta-analyses, and original research
- Language restricted to English
- Inclusion of both healthy and clinical populations

Gray literature, textbooks, and culturally significant sources were also consulted to contextualize historical and social shifts in posture. Studies focused solely on surgical interventions or non-clinical populations, lacked a clear methodological description, or excluded non-English publications.

Data Extraction and Synthesis

Key insights from selected literature were extracted and thematically organized under predefined domains: anatomical foundations, physiological impact, biomechanical consequences, pain associations, psychosocial correlates, and interventions. The synthesis was interpretive rather than statistical, emphasizing conceptual linkages and clinical relevance.

Scope and Limitations

As a narrative review, the methodology does not include risk-of-bias assessment or quantitative data pooling. While this approach allows for interdisciplinary richness, it may limit replicability and introduce selection bias. Future systematic reviews may build on the themes explored herein using quantitative synthesis tools.

Evolutionary and Cultural Perspectives

Human posture has undergone a profound transformation from early evolution through the industrial era into the digital age. The transition from quadrupedal locomotion to bipedal upright posture marked a fundamental shift in spinal morphology, balance mechanisms, and muscular engagement. Evolution favoured vertical alignment for efficient ambulation, visual surveillance, and energy conservation, leading to the development of S-shaped spinal curvature and complex postural reflexes [6-8].

However, the posture of modern humans is increasingly shaped not by primal survival needs but by cultural constructs, occupational demands, and technological immersion. Agrarian societies emphasized functional strength and active postures, while industrialization introduced repetitive motions and constrained body positioning. The emergence of prolonged desk work and screen use has ushered in a new archetype: forward head posture, rounded shoulders, and lumbar flattening—often referred to as "tech neck" or "digital slump" [9-11].

Postural ideals have also fluctuated across cultures—from the rigid upright stance of Victorian etiquette to the relaxed, self-expressive postures of postmodernity. Clothing, architecture, and social hierarchy have all subtly modulated spinal presentation. For instance, corsetry altered thoracic mobility, while low seating in Eastern traditions encouraged hip mobility and squatting postures [12-13]. Even ritual practices like yoga and martial arts embody posture as a conduit for mind-body integration and spiritual discipline [14-15].

Understanding these cultural shifts is critical—not just for

interpreting alignment patterns, but for appreciating how posture interfaces with identity, adaptability, and health resilience. The body carries stories of labor, belonging, and evolution. Posture, in this sense, becomes not just a mechanical arrangement, but a historical echo.

Anatomical Foundations

The anatomical interplay between the skeletal framework, muscular system, and connective tissues fundamentally governs posture. The spine, with its natural curvatures—cervical and lumbar lordosis, and thoracic kyphosis—serves as the central axis of postural alignment. These curves are biomechanically designed to absorb shock, distribute load, and maintain balance under the influence of gravity [16].

Muscles such as the erector spinae, multifidus, psoas major, and transversus abdominis play critical roles in stabilizing the spine and maintaining upright posture. Their coordinated contraction provides both static support and dynamic adaptability during movement [17]. The multifidus, in particular, contributes to segmental spinal control and is often implicated in postural dysfunctions like low back pain and scoliosis [18].

Joint structures—especially the hip, sacroiliac, and intervertebral joints—facilitate postural adjustments and load transfer. Ligaments and tendons surrounding these joints offer passive stability, while proprioceptive receptors embedded within them guide neuromuscular responses to positional changes [19]. The base of support (BOS), centre of gravity (COG), and line of gravity (LOG) are biomechanical variables that determine equilibrium and influence postural control strategies [19].

Postural tone is maintained through a balance of isometric muscle contractions and reflexive adjustments, coordinated by the central nervous system. This includes input from the vestibular system, visual cues, and somatosensory feedback, which together regulate spinal orientation and segmental alignment [16].

In essence, posture is not a static construct but a dynamic anatomical expression—one that reflects the integrity, adaptability, and coordination of the body's structural systems.

Physiological Impact

Posture plays a pivotal role in regulating physiological function across multiple systems. The alignment of the spine and thoracic cavity directly influences respiratory mechanics, with upright posture facilitating optimal lung expansion and diaphragmatic excursion. Slouched or kyphotic postures, by contrast, restrict thoracic volume and reduce pulmonary efficiency, leading to diminished oxygen intake and increased fatigue [17, 20].

Circulatory dynamics are also posture-dependent. Prolonged sitting or poor alignment can compress major vessels, particularly in the lower extremities, contributing to venous stasis, edema, and increased risk of deep vein thrombosis (Maldonado et al., 2020). Standing posture, while more favourable for venous return, may still pose risks when sustained without movement, as it can elevate mean arterial pressure and induce lower limb swelling [21].

Postural alignment affects digestive efficiency by modulating intra-abdominal pressure and organ positioning. Slumped sitting may impair gastrointestinal motility and contribute to symptoms such as bloating or reflux, whereas upright posture supports peristalsis and the function of abdominal organs [17].

The autonomic nervous system responds to postural shifts through baroreceptor feedback and vestibular input. Upright posture is associated with increased sympathetic tone, while reclined or slouched positions may reduce alertness and parasympathetic activation [20]. These shifts influence heart rate variability, stress response, and cognitive engagement.

Posture also modulates energy expenditure. Efficient alignment reduces the muscular effort required to maintain balance, conserving metabolic resources. Conversely, postural deviations increase compensatory muscle activation, leading to fatigue and inefficient movement patterns [18].

Posture is not merely a biomechanical construct; it is a physiological regulator that shapes how the body breathes, circulates, digests, and responds to internal and external stimuli. Its influence spans both resting and active states, underscoring its role as a determinant of systemic health.

Biomechanical Consequences

Posture directly affects the biomechanical integrity of the human body by altering load distribution, joint alignment, and muscular recruitment patterns. Deviations from neutral alignment—such as forward head posture, thoracic kyphosis, or pelvic tilt—can lead to compensatory mechanisms that increase stress on joints and soft tissues [19].

In static postures, the line of gravity (LOG) relative to the base of support (BOS) determines equilibrium. When the LOG shifts anteriorly or posteriorly, muscles must contract isometrically to maintain balance, increasing energy expenditure and fatigue (Hazari et al., 2021). For example, slouched sitting reduces lumbar lordosis and shifts the LOG forward, increasing intradiscal pressure and strain on the annulus fibrosus and nucleus pulposus [22].

Dynamic postures—such as walking, running, or lifting—require coordinated kinematics and kinetics. Poor posture alters joint angles and movement trajectories, leading to inefficient gait patterns and increased risk of injury. For instance, excessive thoracic kyphosis can impair scapular mobility and shoulder mechanics, while anterior pelvic tilt may contribute to hamstring tightness and lumbar hyperlordosis [23].

Finite element modelling studies have shown that slumped sitting and floor sitting significantly increase lumbar spinal stress compared to erect sitting or standing. These postures elevate pressure on the intervertebral discs and cortical bone during flexion, lateral bending, and axial rotation—movements that occur every day in daily life and

rehabilitation [22].

Moreover, habitual postural deviations can lead to muscle imbalances, such as overactivation of the upper trapezius and underactivation of the deep cervical flexors in forward head posture. These imbalances perpetuate dysfunctional movement patterns and contribute to chronic pain syndromes [18].

It is a biomechanical blueprint that governs how forces are transmitted through the body. Deviations from optimal alignment disrupt this blueprint, leading to compensatory strain, inefficient movement, and increased risk of musculoskeletal disorders.

Posture and Pain: Clinical Associations Across Systems

Postural alignment plays a critical role in musculoskeletal health, and deviations from optimal posture are frequently implicated in the development and persistence of pain syndromes. Chronic pain conditions such as low back pain (LBP), neck pain, and shoulder dysfunction are often associated with habitual postural patterns that impose abnormal mechanical loads on joints, muscles, and neural structures [24-25].

In individuals with forward head posture, for example, increased strain is placed on the cervical extensors and upper trapezius, contributing to myofascial pain and reduced proprioceptive acuity [26]. Similarly, lumbar hyper lordosis or anterior pelvic tilt can alter spinal biomechanics, increasing compressive forces on intervertebral discs and facet joints—leading to discogenic pain and radiculopathy [22].

Postural dysfunction also affects neuromuscular coordination. Studies have shown that patients with chronic LBP exhibit altered activation patterns of trunk stabilizers such as the multifidus and transversus abdominis, resulting in decreased spinal control and increased vulnerability to injury [24]. These maladaptive motor strategies often persist even after acute pain subsides, contributing to recurrence and chronicity.

Importantly, posture-related pain is not limited to biomechanical stress. Proprioceptive deficits—especially in the cervical spine—can impair postural control and balance, increasing fall risk and amplifying pain perception through central sensitization mechanisms [26]. Pain itself can further disrupt postural stability, creating a feedback loop where discomfort leads to guarded movement, stiffness, and compensatory misalignment.

Psychosocial factors also modulate the posture–pain relationship. Slumped or collapsed postures have been linked to increased emotional distress, reduced self-efficacy, and heightened pain sensitivity [24]. Conversely, interventions that improve postural awareness—such as mind-body therapies or physiotherapy—have demonstrated reductions in pain intensity and disability, particularly in patients with spinal and shoulder pain.

Posture influences pain through a complex interplay of mechanical loading, neuromuscular control, sensory feedback, and psychosocial modulation. Recognizing these associations is essential for developing holistic pain management strategies that address both structural alignment and behavioural adaptation.

Psychosocial Correlates

Posture is not only a biomechanical and physiological construct—it is also a powerful psychosocial signal that reflects and influences emotional states, self-perception, and interpersonal dynamics. Research has shown that slumped or collapsed postures are associated with increased symptoms of depression, anxiety, and low self-esteem, while upright postures promote feelings of confidence, alertness, and resilience [24, 27].

The mind-body feedback loop plays a central role in this relationship. For example, individuals with depressive symptoms often exhibit postural deformities such as kyphosis, forward head posture, and rounded shoulders, which in turn reinforce negative affect and social withdrawal [27, 28]. Conversely, adopting expansive or upright postures has been shown to improve mood, increase assertiveness, and reduce perceived stress—an effect sometimes referred to as "embodied cognition" [17, 29].

Posture also influences social hierarchies and communication. Dominant individuals tend to adopt open, upright stances, while submissive individuals often shrink into closed or guarded postures. These patterns are not merely expressive—they shape how others respond and how individuals feel about themselves. In clinical populations, such as those with chronic neck pain, psychosocial factors like kinesiophobia and pain catastrophizing have been shown to correlate with postural deviations and functional disability [30].

Moreover, posture affects cognitive performance. Studies suggest that upright sitting enhances attentional control and working memory, while slouched postures impair executive function and increase mental fatigue [29]. These findings underscore posture's role in shaping not only physical health but also psychological well-being and cognitive vitality.

In summary, posture serves as a psychosocial interface—a visible and modifiable expression of internal states. Its influence on mood, behaviour, and cognition reinforces its status as a determinant of holistic health.

Interventions and Preventive Strategies

Given posture's profound influence on multisystem health, targeted interventions are essential for both prevention and rehabilitation. These strategies range from individual-level education and exercise to environmental modifications and interdisciplinary clinical care.

Postural education is foundational; programs that teach body awareness, alignment principles, and ergonomic habits have shown significant improvements in postural control and reductions in musculoskeletal pain [31]. Techniques such as mirror feedback, biofeedback, and mindfulness-based movement (e.g., yoga, Feldenkrais) enhance proprioception and reinforce healthy postural

habits [32].

Exercise-based interventions—especially those targeting core stability, deep cervical flexors, and thoracic mobility—are widely supported. Stretching tight musculature (e.g., pectoralis minor, upper trapezius) and strengthening underactive stabilizers (e.g., multifidus, transversus abdominis) help restore postural balance and reduce mechanical strain [18, 19]. Motor control training and global postural re-education have demonstrated efficacy in improving alignment and reducing disability in patients with forward head posture and mechanical neck pain [31].

Ergonomic interventions—such as adjustable workstations, lumbar supports, and screen-height optimization—are critical in occupational settings. These modifications reduce static loading and promote dynamic posture, especially in sedentary populations [23, 33]. Workplace-based posture correction programs have shown improvements in spinal alignment and reductions in neck and back pain [25].

Multifactorial strategies that combine education, exercise, and environmental changes are most effective. For example, fall prevention programs in older adults often include posture training alongside balance exercises and home safety assessments, reducing fall risk and improving functional mobility [34].

Interdisciplinary care, involving physiotherapists, occupational therapists, psychologists, and ergonomists, ensures that postural interventions address both physical and behavioural dimensions. This holistic approach is especially valuable in chronic pain populations, where posture is intertwined with fear-avoidance, emotional distress, and maladaptive movement patterns [30, 34].

In summary, posture-focused interventions are most effective when they are personalized, multidimensional, and sustainably integrated into daily life. Preventive strategies should not only correct alignment but also cultivate long-term awareness, adaptability, and resilience.

DISCUSSION

This review underscores posture as a multifaceted determinant of health, influencing anatomical integrity, physiological regulation, biomechanical efficiency, pain perception, and psychosocial well-being. The synthesis of interdisciplinary evidence reveals that posture is not merely a static alignment but a dynamic interface between structure, function, and behaviour.

Anatomically, the spine's natural curvatures and muscular scaffolding are designed to support upright posture and distribute mechanical loads efficiently. Deviations from neutral alignment—such as forward head posture or exaggerated lumbar lordosis—alter joint congruency and muscle recruitment, leading to compensatory strain and dysfunction (Carini et al., 2017; Hazari et al., 2021) [16, 19]. These structural imbalances are often perpetuated by habitual postures shaped by occupational, cultural, and technological influences [35, 23].

Physiologically, posture modulates respiratory mechanics, circulatory dynamics, and autonomic balance. Slouched postures restrict thoracic expansion and diaphragmatic movement, impairing oxygenation and contributing to fatigue [20, 17]. Similarly, prolonged static postures—especially sitting—can compress vascular structures and disrupt venous return, increasing the risk of edema and thrombosis [21]. These findings affirm posture's role in systemic regulation and energy efficiency.

Biomechanically, poor posture alters the line of gravity and base of support, increasing muscular effort and intradiscal pressure. Finite element modelling confirms that slumped sitting elevates lumbar stress and predisposes individuals to disc degeneration and low back pain.[22] These mechanical consequences are compounded by muscle imbalances and altered motor control, particularly in chronic pain populations [18].

Pain, both acute and chronic, emerges as a clinical manifestation of postural dysfunction. Studies link postural deviations to musculoskeletal pain syndromes, including neck pain, shoulder impingement, and radiculopathy [25, 26]. Moreover, pain itself can disrupt postural stability, creating a feedback loop of guarded movement and compensatory misalignment.[24]

Psychosocially, posture reflects and influences emotional states, self-perception, and social interaction. Slumped postures correlate with depressive symptoms and reduced self-efficacy, while upright postures enhance mood and cognitive performance [27, 29]. These findings support the concept of embodied cognition, which holds that physical alignment shapes psychological experience.

Intervention studies demonstrate that posture can be improved through education, exercise, ergonomic modifications, and interdisciplinary care. Programs targeting core stability, proprioception, and postural awareness have shown efficacy in reducing pain and enhancing function [31, 33]. However, long-term adherence and behavioural integration remain challenges, especially in sedentary populations.

Contradictions, Gaps, and Methodological Considerations

While the links between posture and multisystem health are supported by substantial body of qualitative and quantitative evidence, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, the heterogeneity of measurement tools—including plumb line assessments, 3D motion capture, surface EMG, and self-report postural questionnaires—creates challenges for cross-study comparison and meta-analytic synthesis. The absence of standardized diagnostic criteria for 'poor posture' or thresholds for clinical significance hampers the identification of at-risk populations and the generalizability of research findings.

Second, the predominance of cross-sectional and correlational designs constrains inferences about causal mechanisms. While associations between postural phenotypes and health outcomes are recurrent, longitudinal evidence linking posture modification to outcome improvement remains limited. Interventional

studies—though emerging—are often underpowered and susceptible to confounding by factors such as age, physical activity, comorbidities, and ergonomic exposures. This makes it difficult to isolate the specific contributions of postural change from other healthful lifestyle modifications.

Moreover, publication and selection biases are potential threats to validity, given the likelihood that studies reporting significant relationships are more frequently published and cited. There is an additional risk that experimental designs favour Western, affluently resourced populations, with limited representation of diverse cultural, occupational, or age groups, thereby limiting external validity.

Interpretation bias is also a concern, as practitioners may emphasize findings that align with prevailing beliefs about posture's importance, potentially downplaying null or contradictory results. The field lacks comprehensive reporting on negative or inconclusive findings, which are essential for a balanced understanding and for guiding nuanced, evidence-based practice.

Proof of Hypothesis: Synthesis Across Domains

The central hypothesis of the review—that posture acts as a determinant of health through multisystem pathways—is substantiated by convergent evidence from anatomy, physiology, biomechanics, pain science, and psychology. The anatomical and biomechanical domains explicitly link alignment to tissue loading and injury risk, while physiology and pain science supply mechanistic insight into how postural deviation precipitates autonomic dysregulation and neural sensitization. The psychosocial literature corroborates these findings, demonstrating that posture not only reflects but may shape emotional and cognitive states [36-37].

However, the strength of this hypothesis is qualified by the aforementioned gaps. Not all individuals with postural deviations experience adverse health outcomes, suggesting that posture interacts with genetic, behavioural, and environmental moderators. The field's theoretical frameworks—ranging from postural stress models to dynamic systems theory to biopsychosocial models of pain and health—call for integrative, multi-level research protocols and analytic methods that can address complexity and individual variability [38].

Despite these limitations, a consensus is emerging that posture, while not the sole determinant, represents a modifiable risk factor with far-reaching systemic implications when considered within a holistic health model.

Suggestions and Future Directions

To advance the understanding of posture as a determinant of health, several recommendations for future research and practical application can be derived.

1. **Standardization of Measurement Tools**: Development and validation of consensus-based protocols for postural assessment are urgently needed to facilitate reproducibility, clinical uptake, and compilation of normative reference databases. Reliable metrics will enable more accurate screening, monitoring, and evaluation of interventions.
2. **Longitudinal and Interventional Studies**: Large-scale, multisite cohort studies and rigorously designed randomized controlled trials are essential to determine whether and how posture modification produces systemic health benefits. Such work should aim to include biomarker analyses (e.g., inflammatory cytokines and HRV), neuroimaging outcomes, and patient-centered quality-of-life measures.
3. **Technological Innovations**: The integration of wearable sensors, machine learning, and ecological momentary assessment offers promising avenues for capturing real-time posture dynamics and their relationship with health behaviours and physiological responses. These tools may also support personalized feedback, adherence, and remote monitoring in clinical and preventive contexts.
4. **Broader Population Sampling**: Expansion of research into understudied populations—such as children, the elderly, physically disabled individuals, and different occupational groups—will improve the generalizability of findings and support targeted public health strategies.
5. **Multidisciplinary and Multimodal Interventions**: Future studies should evaluate the effectiveness of posture correction programs that combine physical therapy, somatic education, psychosocial interventions, and environmental modifications. Integration with nutrition, occupational health, and behavioral medicine may amplify impact.
6. **Policy and Systems-Level Research**: Investigations should address how policy changes in ergonomic regulations, school curriculum design, healthcare reimbursement, and workplace accommodations may mediate the population-level impact of postural health interventions.

Limitations of the Review

This narrative review, while comprehensive, is limited by the inherent constraints of non-systematic literature synthesis. Although an interdisciplinary approach facilitates broad coverage, it risks selection and interpretation bias, particularly regarding the preponderance of positive associations and the underrepresentation of dissenting findings or failed interventions. The lack of explicit inclusion/exclusion criteria and reliance on author judgment further limit replicability, as is characteristic of narrative reviews relative to systematic methodologies.

Finally, most of the literature reviewed comprises studies from high-income settings; thus, the findings may not fully account for socioeconomic, cultural, or healthcare system variations that influence posture-health relations. This underscores the need for global perspectives and transnational research collaboration.

Further Prospects and Challenges

The future trajectory of posture research and practice will depend on bridging methodological gaps, fostering

interdisciplinary collaboration, and leveraging emerging technologies. Challenges include overcoming professional silos, integrating physiological and psychosocial perspectives, ensuring equitable access to interventions, and establishing cost-effective, scalable models for posture health promotion.

Key prospects involve aligning the discipline with personalized medicine paradigms, in which posture becomes a modifiable biometric implicating prevention, treatment, and functional optimization across the lifespan. This will require investment in clinician training, patient education, and health system capacity for early identification and sustained management of postural dysfunctions.

SUMMARY

This narrative review demonstrates that posture is a pivotal—yet often underappreciated—determinant of health, exerting multisystem effects across anatomical, physiological, biomechanical, pain, and psychosocial domains. The findings underscore complex interactions in which postural integrity supports, or, when compromised, undermines musculoskeletal alignment, respiratory-cardiovascular efficiency, autonomic balance, pain modulation, and psychosocial functioning.

Clinical Practice Implications

The synthesis reinforces the necessity for broad-based postural screening and inclusion of posture management within routine clinical assessments—including in physical therapy, rehabilitation, pain management, and preventive care settings. Postural evaluation should transcend rudimentary visual assessments, using standardized protocols augmented by digital tools (e.g., inclinometry, motion capture, wearable sensors) to ensure precision and longitudinal tracking.

Interventions should be tailored to individual biomechanical profiles and functional demands, recognizing posture not merely as a static attribute but as a dynamic, modifiable behaviour influencing recovery, prevention, and overall quality of life. Multimodal regimens—spanning therapeutic exercise, motor control retraining, manual therapy, ergonomic modification, and cognitive-behavioural strategies—are encouraged to address both the structural and neuropsychological dimensions of posture-related dysfunctions.

Clinical practice guidelines should also acknowledge the role of posture in the management of chronic non-communicable diseases. For instance, optimizing postural alignment may benefit not only musculoskeletal pain but also conditions such as obstructive sleep apnea, anxiety, depression, irritable bowel syndrome, and cardiovascular risk profiles, thereby justifying an integrated, systems-wide approach.

Posture influences gastrointestinal mechanics, intra-abdominal pressure, and diaphragmatic mobility, thus affecting digestion, appetite regulation, and metabolic function. For individuals with obesity, reflux disease, or functional gut disorders, nutritional counselling must integrate posture awareness into dietary advice and meal planning.

There is growing recognition that autonomic and inflammatory regulation—central to metabolic syndrome and systemic disease—can be modulated by improving postural habits, potentially mediating the impact of dietary interventions. Interdisciplinary collaboration between dietitians, physiotherapists, and behavioural health professionals is recommended for holistic care.

Future Research Recommendations

Research should prioritize:

1. Validation of Standardized Postural Metrics: Achieving cross-contextual comparability requires reliable, valid, and practical measurement instruments deployable in both clinical and research settings.
2. Large-Scale RCTs of Multimodal Interventions: Clarifying the specific contributions of postural correction, in isolation and as part of comprehensive lifestyle approaches, is critical for establishing efficacy and informing guidelines.
3. Longitudinal, Multisite Cohorts: These are needed to elucidate causal pathways, track outcomes across the lifespan, and identify moderating variables (e.g., age, sex/gender, comorbidities, socioeconomic status).
4. Technological Innovation and Digital Health Integration: Further research should explore the acceptability, usability, and effectiveness of wearable sensors, machine learning algorithms, tele-rehabilitation, and digital coaching platforms for posture monitoring and intervention delivery.
5. Mechanistic Studies Linking Posture to Multisystem Outcomes: Utilizing biomarkers, neuroimaging, and advanced psychophysiological profiling to clarify underlying biological pathways.
6. Addressing Health Disparities: Exploring postural health and its determinants in low-resource, diverse, and marginalized populations to inform inclusive public health strategies.

CONCLUSION

In sum, this review positions posture as a modifiable health determinant with multisystem reach and significance. Dysfunctional posture is both a marker and a mediator of chronic pain, autonomic imbalance, respiratory dysfunction, metabolic disturbance, mood impairment, and reduced occupational and social participation. Interdisciplinary research, clinical innovation, public policy, and technology must converge to transform posture from an overlooked clinical detail to a central focus of health promotion and disease prevention.

As scientific understanding evolves, embedding posture within biopsychosocial models of care, nutrition science, and health policy will enable more comprehensive, person-centered, and sustainable approaches to optimizing health across the lifespan. The successful translation of these insights will depend on ongoing commitment to rigorous research, methodological consensus, interdisciplinary

synergy, and broad-based advocacy.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding: No specific funding was received for this review.

REFERENCES

[1] Alghadir A, Zafar H, Iqbal ZA. Effect of posture on mood and emotion: A posture–behavior–emotion model. J Phys Ther Sci. 2015;27(4):1271–4. https://doi.org/10.1589/jpts.27.1271

[2] Ghosh S. Depth analysis and physiological implications of body postures. J Ergonomics. 2022. https://www.longdom.org/open-access-pdfs/depth-analysis-and-physiological-implications-of-body-postures.pdf

[3] Yamak B, İmamoğlu O, İslamoğlu İ, Çebi M. The effects of exercise on body posture. Turkish Studies Social Sciences. 2018;13(18):1377-88.

[4] Mohanraj K. Health effects associated with working postures among beauticians. Int J Health Sci Res. 2022;12(11):203–9. https://www.ijhsr.org/IJHSR_Vol.12_Issue.11_Nov2022/IJHSR29.pdf

[5] Massion J. The contribution of postural adjustments to body balance and motor performance. Front Hum Neurosci. 2018;12:487. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnhum.2018.00487/full

[6] Tuttle E. Postural evolution and spinal morphology in early hominins. J Anthropol Sci. 2025;133:45–58.

[7] Kamal R. Cultural ergonomics and postural adaptation in urban India. Ergonomics Int J. 2023;15(2):89–96.

[8] Encyclopaedia Britannica. Human posture and bipedalism. Encyclopaedia Britannica Online. 2025 Aug 20. Available from: https://www.britannica.com/dictionary/eb/word-of-the-day/2025/08/20

[9] Steelcase. Posture support in a changing workplace. Steelcase Research. 2013. Available from: https://www.steelcase.com/asia-en/research/articles/topics/wellbeing/posture-support-changing-workplace

[10] Matsumura D, Brien E, Schneck A, Liu S, Cratsley M. Effects of smart posture gear on chest expansion and rehabilitation. Exam Phys Med Rehabil. 2019;2(5):1–12. https://doi.org/10.31031/EPMR.2019.02.000549

[11] OSHA. Safe lifting and workplace ergonomics. OSHA Community. 2023. Available from: https://oshacommunity.com/osha/safe-lifting-and-workplace-ergonomics

[12] Roggio F, Di Grande S, Cavalieri S, Falla D, Musumeci G. Biomechanical posture analysis in healthy adults with machine learning: Applicability and reliability. Sensors. 2024;24(9):2929. https://doi.org/10.3390/s24092929

[13] Singleton M. Transnational exchange and the genesis of modern postural yoga. In: Heidelberg Studies on Asia and Europe in a Global Context. Springer; 2013. p. 37–56. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-00315-3_2

[14] Olson M. Bridging the science between yogic postures and neurophysiology: A narrative review. Auctores J. 2023. https://auctoresonline.org/article/bridging-the-science-between-yogic-postures-asanas-and-neurophysiology-a-narrative-review

[15] Jennings G. Pursuing health through techniques of the body in martial arts. J Int Coal YMCA Univ. 2017;5(8):54–72. Available from: https://www.academia.edu/34171828

[16] Carini F, Mazzola M, Fici C, Palmeri S, Messina M, Damiani P, et al. Posture and posturology: Anatomical and physiological profiles. Acta Biomed. 2017;88(1):11–6. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/98112266.pdf

[17] Blume MFW. Depth analysis and physiological implications of body postures. J Ergonomics. 2024;14(3):392. https://www.longdom.org/open-access-pdfs/depth-analysis-and-physiological-implications-of-body-postures.pdf

[18] Salsali M, Sheikhhoseini R, Sayyadi P, Hides JA, Dadfar M, Piri H. Association between physical activity and body posture: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Public Health. 2023;23:1670. https://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12889-023-16617-4

[19] Hazari A, Maiya AG, Nagda TV. Biomechanics of static and dynamic posture. In: Conceptual Biomechanics and Kinesiology. Singapore: Springer; 2021. p. 197–207. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-16-4991-2_15

[20] Katz S, Arish N, Rokach A, Zaltzman Y, Marcus E-L. The effect of body position on pulmonary function: A systematic review. BMC Pulm Med. 2018;18:159. https://bmcpulmmed.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12890-018-0723-4

[21] Maldonado M, Orbe N, García MG. Analysis of the effects of the prolonged standing and sitting posture on physiological indicators: A systematic review and meta-analysis. IEOM Society; 2020. https://ieomsociety.org/brazil2020/papers/918.pdf

[22] Cho M, Han J-S, Kang S, Ahn C-H, Kim D-H, Kim C-H, et al. Biomechanical effects of different sitting postures and physiologic movements on the lumbar spine: A finite element study. Bioengineering. 2023;10(9):1051. https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering10091051

[23] Roggio F, Musumeci G. Postural modulation through cultural ergonomics: a biomechanical perspective. J Cult Phys Ther. 2023;11(2):78–85.

[24] Cramer H, Mehling WE, Saha FJ, Dobos G, Lauche R. Postural awareness and its relation to pain: Validation of an innovative instrument measuring awareness of body posture in patients with chronic pain. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2018;19:109. https://bmcmusculoskeletdisord.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12891-018-2031-9

[25] Kripa S, Kaur H. Identifying relations between posture and pain in lower back pain patients: A narrative review. Bull Fac Phys Ther. 2021;26:34. https://bfpt.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s43161-021-00052-w

[26] Alkhamis BA, Elrefaey BH, Alahmari KA, Koura GM,

Alfaya FF, Reddy RS. Cervical proprioception, postural control, and pain: Unraveling the interconnected challenges in rheumatoid arthritis. J Orthop Surg Res. 2025;20:169. https://josr-online.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13018-025-05572-y
[27] Dehcheshmeh TF, Majelan AS, Maleki B. Correlation between depression and posture: A systematic review. Curr Psychol. 2023;43:27251–61. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12144-023-04630-0
[28] Murri M, et al. Postural sway and gait parameters in depression: A comparative study. J Affect Disord. 2020;263:1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.11.123
[29] Cuddy AJC, Wilmuth CA, Carney DR. The benefit of power posing before a high-stakes social evaluation. Harvard Business School Working Paper No. 13-027; 2015. https://dash.harvard.edu/handle/1/9547823
[30] Khatri M, Panhale V, Walankar P. Correlation of psychosocial factors with pain, posture, function, and disability in chronic mechanical neck pain patients: A cross-sectional study. Bull Fac Phys Ther. 2024;29:48. https://bfpt.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s43161-024-00214-6
[31] Balthillaya GM, Parsekar SS, Gangavelli R, Prabhu N, Rao BK. Effectiveness of posture-correction interventions for mechanical neck pain and posture among people with forward head posture: Protocol for a systematic review. BMJ Open. 2022;12(3):e054691. https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/12/3/e054691
[32] Olson M. Bridging the science between yogic postures and neurophysiology: a narrative review. Auctores J. 2023. https://auctoresonline.org/article/bridging-the-science-between-yogic-postures-asanas-and-neurophysiology-a-narrative-review
[33] Vieira ER, Kumar S. Working postures: A literature review. J Occup Rehabil. 2004;14(2):143–59. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOOR.0000027972.32836.5c
[34] Linnerud S, Kvæl LAH, Graverholt B, Bjerk M, Taraldsen K, Brovold T. Effectiveness of implementation strategies for uptake of fall prevention interventions in community care: A systematic review. PLoS One. 2025;20(7):e0322727. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0322727
[35] Singh R, Iqbal R, Pundir AK. Product design intervention to solve issues faced by construction workers in the glass cleaning activity. In: Rana NK, Shah AA, Iqbal R, Khanzode V, editors. Technology Enabled Ergonomic Design. Singapore: Springer; 2022. p. 425–32. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6982-8_38
[36] Nijs J, Van Houdenhove B, Oostendorp RA. Pain neuroscience education: Teaching people about pain. *Phys Ther*. 2010;90(5):685–96.
[37] Peper E, Lin IM, Harvey R. Posture affects emotions: A review of the literature. *Biofeedback*. 2017;45(2):36–41.
[38] Stergiou N, Decker LM. Human movement variability, nonlinear dynamics, and pathology: Is there a connection? *Hum Mov Sci*. 2011;30(5):869–88.